DARLINGTON HIGH 525 Spring Street Darlington, SC 29501 9-12 High School GRADES 1,164 Students ENROLLMENT V. Keith Wilks 843-398-2730 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Rainey Knight 843-398-5200 Dr. Thelma Dawson 843-398-5200 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 6 10 3 2 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 11 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Below Average	No
2004	Below Average	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	57.5	N/A	N/A	69.4	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	20.6	N/A	N/A	15.8	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	21.9	N/A	N/A	19.7	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours

Percent 92.9% 94.4%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	1.7	6.3
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	1.7	6.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	29.8	37.3

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

Rate

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	216	144		
Number of Diplomas	121	105		

56.0%

74.5%

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	182	92.9	181	1.7	216	56.0	YES		
Gender									
Male	79	93.7	89	0.0	106	47.2	N/A		
Female	103	92.2	92	3.3	110	64.5	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	50	96.0	38	5.3	51	52.9	N/A		
African-American	129	91.5	142	0.7	164	56.7			
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	165	93.9	143	1.4	173	63.6	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	17	82.4	38	2.6	43	25.6	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	166	94.0	181	1.7	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	167	94.0	181	1.7	216	56.0	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	92	93.5	97	1.0	114	56.1	N/A		
Full-pay meals	75	94.7	84	2.4	102	55.9	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GRO	DUP,	ш,	ш,					ш,
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	ي. ا	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation O.E.
	je j	ž / ž	/ MG	% Basic		/ 🐉	[Cier] E	
	100 10	/ %	Be/	/ %	/ &	/ %	18 E	(/ £ .5	
	l _m g	/	/ %	/	/ "	/ ~	% \$	/ [~] 8	/ ~ ?
Engli	/ ish/Langua	ge Arts - S			Objective	= 33.3%			
All Students	263	94.7	24.8	38.4	25.6	11.2	47.9	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	133	91.7	33.6	39.5	18.5	8.4	36.1	N/A	N/A
Female	130	97.7	16.3	37.4	32.5	13.8	59.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	83	92.8	12.3	30.1	38.4	19.2	68.5	YES	NC
African-American	177	95.5	30.7	41.6	20.5	7.2	38.0	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	197	96.4	14.2	41.0	31.1	13.7	58.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	66	89.4	57.6	30.5	8.5	3.4	15.3	NO	YES
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	263	94.7	24.8	38.4	25.6	11.2	47.9	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	263	94.7	24.8	38.4	25.6	11.2	47.9	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	153	94.8	31.5	40.6	20.3	7.7	38.5	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	110	94.5	15.2	35.4	33.3	16.2	61.6	N/A	N/A
	Mathematic	cs - State	Performa	nce Obie	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	263	92.4	35.6	38.6	21.6	4.2	33.5	YES	NO
Gender									
Male	133	88.0	43.0	38.6	15.8	2.6	28.1	N/A	N/A
Female	130	96.9	28.7	38.5	27.0	5.7	38.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	83	90.4	16.9	35.2	38.0	9.9	56.3	YES	NO
African-American	177	93.2	44.4	39.5	14.2	1.9	22.8	NO	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	197	94.9	25.0	43.9	25.6	5.6	40.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	66	84.8	69.6	21.4	8.9	N/A	12.5	NO	N
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	263	92.4	35.6	38.6	21.6	4.2	33.5	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/
Non-Limited English Proficient	263	92.4	35.6	38.6	21.6	4.2	33.5	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	153	92.8	43.6	40.7	13.6	2.1	24.3	NO	N
Full-pay meals	110	91.8	24.0	35.4	33.3	7.3	46.9	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
tudents (n= 1,164)				
letention rate ttendance rate	21.9% 96.8%	Up from 0.2% Up from 93.7%	10.2% 95.9%	9.1% 96.0%
ligible for gifted and talented /ith disabilities other than speech	4.4% 23.5%	Up from 0.1% Down from 24.0%	2.2% 13.8%	5.8% 12.7%
older than usual for grade out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	17.9% 0.1%	Down from 20.6% Down from 10.5%	12.5% 1.3%	9.8% 1.6%
nrolled in AP/IB programs uccessful on AP/IB exams	0.0% N/AV	Down from 1.1%	5.2% 52.3%	10.2% 53.8%
nnual dropout rate	3.3%	Up from 2.3%	2.7%	2.7%
areer/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.8%	Up from 0.0%	2.8%	3.6%
nrollment in career/technology center courses	761	Up from 659	429	466
tudents participating in worked-based experiences	44.0%	Up from 12.4%	21.1%	25.7%
areer/technology students mastering core competencies	72.8%	Up from 70.1%	74.1%	77.7%
areer/technology completers placed eachers (n= 97)	99.3%	Up from 98.7%	99.7%	99.3%
eachers with advanced degrees	41.2%	Up from 39.4%	47.1%	52.0%
ontinuing contract teachers	67.0%	Down from 74.5%	79.4%	82.1%
lighly qualified teachers**	91.7%	N/A	87.5%	89.5%
eachers with emergency or provisional certificates	17.2%		11.4%	8.6%
eachers returning from previous year eacher attendance rate	82.5% 95.4%	Up from 81.8% Up from 94.0%	82.2% 95.4%	86.2% 95.3%
verage teacher salary	\$39,497	Down 1.5%	\$39,497	\$41,060
rof. development days/teacher	10.3 days	Up from 9.7 days	10.7 days	10.6 days
	2.0	Un from 4.0	2.0	2.0
rincipal's years at school tudent-teacher ratio in core subjects	2.0 25.3 to 1	Up from 1.0 Up from 25.1 to 1	3.0 23.8 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1
rime instructional time	90.7%	Up from 86.4%	89.6%	90.0%
ollars spent per pupil*	\$7,332	Down 18.7%	\$6,475	\$6,310
ercent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	61.7%	Up from 61.1%	56.8%	57.9%
opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Excellent	Excellent
arents attending conferences	60.7%	Down from 63.7%	84.6%	89.3%
ACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
character development program	Below Average	N/A	Good	Good
Prior year audited financial data are reported.		Our District	St	ate
lighly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	90.7%		.0%
lighly qualified teachers in high poverty		94.8%	91.	.1%
Calaba and Calaba and the Calaba and Calaba		State Objective		Objective
lighly qualified teachers in this school* tudent attendance in this school		65.0%		es
		95.3%	Y	es

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The theme for the 2003-04 school year at Darlington High School was "Hard Work Pays Off!" Darlington High School experienced several successes from the hard work that was put into the programs for the 2003-04 school year.

On the end of course tests that were given in December 2003 and in May 2004, our freshmen from the Ninth Grade Academy taking Algebra I-CP bossed a 95% passing rate on the test. The upper classmen taking Algebra for the Technology Two course had a passing rate of nearly 70% on the same end of course test.

For an unprecedented second year, the Graphics Arts program at Darlington High School has earned the highest award in the Carolinas' PICA competition. This distinguishes Darlington High's Program as the best Graphic Arts Program in the Carolinas. There were more than 350 entries in the competition from high schools and colleges from around the Carolinas.

During the 2003-04 band season, the DHS band participated in seven competitions and received nothing less than an excellent rating. Recently, it was announced that the Darlington High School Band was nominated to represent South Carolina in the 2005 Presidential Inauguration Parade in Washington DC, January 19-23, 2005. These are some of the recognition and honors that the band earned this year.

The Ninth Grade Academy is new to Darlington High School for the 2003-04 school The Ninth Grade Academy's personnel consists of eighteen academic teachers, two special education resource teachers, one dedicated counselor, and a dedicated administrator. The academic teachers are broken into three academic teams consisting of two math teachers, two language arts teachers, one science teacher and one social studies teacher. The teams are heterogeneously grouped. Student- teacher ratios are maintained at a level of 18:1. Students that have performed below the state's expectations on previous standardized tested at the junior high school are provided with additional test preparation courses in math and Students have an opportunity to take advanced math, English, science, and social studies courses while at the Ninth Grade Academy. The Ninth Grade's approach seems to be helping students with the adjustment to the high school experience. Only three ninth grade students have been recommended for Projections indicated that retention of first time ninth graders will decrease by 50% compared to last year's totals.

Seven of the eleven varsity sports participated in the state playoffs during 2003-04. The boys' tennis team earned the distinction of being the co-region champs. The girls' softball team was second in the region and lost in the playoffs to one of the teams who competed for the state title. Eleven girls from the track team made the state competition and placed third overall. The football team made it to the playoffs for the first time in eight years. The team advanced to the second round of the playoffs this year.

V. Keith Wilks, Principal Le Flowers, School Improvement Chairman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND	PARENTS		
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	101	117	9
Percent satisfied with learning environment	53.1%	56.1%	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	52.0%	57.5%	I/S
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	30.5%	80.4%	I/S
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools witho	ut grade 11, only t	he highest grade v	was included.